

THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today (1) was **not** written for publication in a law journal and (2) is **not** binding precedent of the Board.


UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte DIRK SELDESLACHTS

Application No. 09/051,565

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER



This application was received electronically at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on July 13, 2004. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

On December 24, 2003, the applicant filed an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS). The Examiner failed to consider the IDS. According to MPEP § 609 which states:

" . . . . The Examiner must also fill in his or her name and the date the information was considered in blocks at the bottom of the PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08A and 08B form."

Appropriate correction is required.


Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that the application be returned to the Examiner for consideration of the IDS filed December 24, 2003, and for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

By:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
KIMBERLY JORDAN  
Program and Resource Administrator  
(703) 308-9797

KJ/dal  
RA04-0784

Application No. 09/051,565

Page 3

BACHMAN & LAPOINTE  
900 CHAPEL STREET  
SUITE 1201  
NEW HAVEN CT 06510-2802